

## MODIFIED DEFECT RELATIONS FOR THE GAUSS MAP OF MINIMAL SURFACES

HIROTAKA FUJIMOTO

*Dedicated to Professor Shingo Murakami on his 60th birthday*

### Introduction

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be a connected, oriented immersed minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . The Gauss map  $G$  of  $M$  is classically defined to be the map which maps each point  $p$  of  $M$  to the unit normal vector  $G(p) \in S^2$  of  $M$  at  $p$ . For the sake of convenience, we mean in this paper by the Gauss map of  $M$  the map  $g: M \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  ( $= P^1(\mathbf{C})$ ) which is the conjugate of the composition of  $G$  and the stereographic projection from  $S^2$  onto  $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$ . By associating a holomorphic local coordinate  $z = u + \sqrt{-1}v$  with each positive isothermal coordinate system  $(u, v)$ ,  $M$  is considered as a Riemann surface with a conformal metric  $ds^2$ . By the assumption of minimality of  $M$ ,  $g$  is a meromorphic function on  $M$ .

In 1961, R. Osserman showed that if  $M$  is nonflat and complete, then the Gauss map  $g: M \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{C}}$  cannot omit a set of positive logarithmic capacity [10]. Afterwards, F. Xavier proved that the Gauss map of such a surface can omit at most six points [14]. Recently, the author has shown that the number of exceptional values of the Gauss map of such a surface is at most four [8]. Here, the number four is best-possible. Indeed, there are many kinds of complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  whose Gauss maps omit four points ([10] and [12]). The author also obtained some estimate of the Gaussian curvature of a noncomplete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  whose Gauss map omits five distinct points [8].

The purpose of this paper is to give some improvements of the above-mentioned results. We shall introduce some new types of modified defects for a nonconstant meromorphic function on an open Riemann surface and give modified defect relations for the Gauss map of a minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  which have analogy to the defect relation given by R. Nevanlinna in his value distribution theory.

### 1. Statement of the main results

We first give the definitions of modified defects. Let  $M$  be an open Riemann surface and  $f$  a nonconstant holomorphic map of  $M$  into  $P^1(\mathbf{C})$ . We represent  $f$  as  $f = (f_0 : f_1)$  with holomorphic functions  $f_0, f_1$  on  $M$  without common zero, which we call a reduced representation of  $f$  on  $M$  in the following. Set  $\|f\| = (|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2)^{1/2}$  and, for each  $\alpha = (a^0 : a^1) \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$  with  $|a^0|^2 + |a^1|^2 = 1$ , define the function  $F_\alpha := a^1 f_0 - a^0 f_1$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We define the  $S$ -defect of  $\alpha$  for  $f$  by

$$\delta_f^S(\alpha) := 1 - \inf\{\eta \geq 0; \eta \text{ satisfies condition } (*)_S\}.$$

Here, condition  $(*)_S$  means that there exists a  $[-\infty, \infty)$ -valued continuous subharmonic function  $u$  ( $\neq -\infty$ ) on  $M$  satisfying the following conditions:

(D1)  $e^u \leq \|f\|^\eta$ ,

(D2) for each  $\zeta \in f^{-1}(\alpha)$  there exists the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (u(z) - \log |z - \zeta|) \in [-\infty, \infty),$$

where  $z$  is a holomorphic local coordinate around  $\zeta$ .

**Remark.** In the previous papers [6] and [7], we call the  $S$ -defect of  $\alpha$  the nonintegrated defect of  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 1.2.** We next define the  $H$ -defect of  $\alpha$  for  $f$  by

$$\delta_f^H(\alpha) := 1 - \inf\{\eta \geq 0; \eta \text{ satisfies condition } (*)_H\}.$$

Here, condition  $(*)_H$  means that there exists a  $[-\infty, \infty)$ -valued continuous function  $u$  on  $M$  which is harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha)$  and satisfies conditions (D1) and (D2).

**Definition 1.3.** We define also the  $O$ -defect of  $\alpha$  for  $f$  by

$$\delta_f^O(\alpha) := 1 - \inf\{1/m; F_\alpha \text{ has no zero of order less than } m\}.$$

Obviously, if  $\eta$  satisfies condition  $(*)_H$ , then it satisfies condition  $(*)_S$ . Moreover, if  $F_\alpha$  has no zero of order less than  $m$ , then  $\eta := 1/m$  satisfies condition  $(*)_H$ . Indeed, the function  $u = \eta \log |F_\alpha|$  is harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha)$  and satisfies conditions (D1) and (D2). From these facts, we see

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \leq \delta_f^O(\alpha) \leq \delta_f^H(\alpha) \leq \delta_f^S(\alpha) \leq 1.$$

These modified defects have the following properties similar to those of the classical Nevanlinna defect.

**Proposition 1.5.** (i) *If there exists a bounded holomorphic function  $g$  on  $M$  such that  $g^{-1}(0) = f^{-1}(\alpha)$ , then  $\delta_f^H(\alpha) = \delta_f^S(\alpha) = 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $F_\alpha$  has no zero of order less than  $m$ , then*

$$\delta_f^S(\alpha) \geq \delta_f^H(\alpha) \geq \delta_f^O(\alpha) \geq 1 - 1/m.$$

*In particular, if  $f^{-1}(\alpha) = \emptyset$ , then  $\delta_f^O(\alpha) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (ii) is obvious from Definition 1.3. To see (i), we consider the function  $u = \log(|g|/K)$ , where  $K := \sup\{|g(z)|; z \in M\}$ . Then  $u$  satisfies conditions (D1) and (D2) for  $\eta = 0$ . Thus,  $\eta = 0$  satisfies condition  $(*)_H$  and so  $\delta_f^H(\alpha) = 1$ .

We now consider the case where  $M = \mathbf{C}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $f(0) \neq \alpha$ . We define the order function of  $f$  by

$$T^f(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|f(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta - \log \|f(0)\|,$$

and the counting function for  $\alpha$  by

$$N_\alpha^f(r) := \int_0^r \#(f^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \{z: |z| \leq t\}) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where  $\#A$  denotes the number of elements of a set  $A$ . Then the classical Nevanlinna defect without counted multiplicities is defined by

$$\delta_f(\alpha) := 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_\alpha^f(r)}{T^f(r)}.$$

By the help of Jensen's formula, we can show easily

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \leq \delta_f^S(\alpha) \leq \delta_f(\alpha),$$

[6, Proposition 4.7].

Now, we state our main results. First, we give

**Theorem I.** *Let  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be a nonflat complete minimal surface and  $g: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  the Gauss map. Then, for arbitrarily given distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$ ,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_g^H(\alpha_j) \leq 4.$$

Since we have  $\delta_g^H(\alpha_j) = 1$  for every  $\alpha_j \notin g(M)$  by Proposition 1.5, Theorem I yields the following result which was given in [8].

**Corollary 1.7.** *The Gauss map of a nonflat complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  can omit at most four points.*

We next consider a noncomplete minimal surface  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ . We denote by  $d(p)$  the distance from a point  $p \in M$  to the boundary of  $M$ , namely, the largest lower bound of the lengths of all piecewise smooth curves going from  $p$  to the boundary of  $M$ , and by  $K(p)$  the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  at  $p$ .

**Theorem II.** *Let  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be a nonflat noncomplete minimal surface and  $g$  the Gauss map. If there exist distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_g^O(\alpha_j) > 4$ , then*

$$|K(p)| \leq C/d(p)^2$$

for all  $p \in M$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  and  $\delta_g^O(\alpha_1), \dots, \delta_g^O(\alpha_q)$ .

This is an improvement of [8, Theorem I].

Let  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be a minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . As is well known, the set of all oriented 2-planes in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  is canonically identified with the quadric

$$Q_2(\mathbf{C}) = \{(w_1 : \dots : w_4) \in P^3(\mathbf{C}); w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2 + w_4^2 = 0\}$$

in  $P^3(\mathbf{C})$ . The Gauss map of  $M$  is defined by the map  $G: M \rightarrow Q_2(\mathbf{C})$  which maps each point  $p \in M$  to the point  $G(p) \in Q_2(\mathbf{C})$  corresponding to the oriented tangent plane of  $M$  at  $p$ . Since  $Q_2(\mathbf{C})$  is canonically biholomorphic with  $P^1(\mathbf{C}) \times P^1(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $G$  may be identified with a pair of meromorphic functions  $g = (g_1, g_2): M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C}) \times P^1(\mathbf{C})$ . We can prove the following.

**Theorem III.** *Let  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be a complete minimal surface and  $g = (g_1, g_2): M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C}) \times P^1(\mathbf{C})$  the Gauss map of  $M$ .*

(i) *Assume that  $g_1 \not\equiv \text{const.}$  and  $g_2 \not\equiv \text{const.}$  Then, for arbitrary distinct  $\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1q_1} \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$  and distinct  $\alpha_{21}, \dots, \alpha_{2q_2} \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$ , at least one of the following conclusions is valid:*

$$(a) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_{1i}) \leq 2,$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_{g_2}^H(\alpha_{2j}) \leq 2,$$

$$(c) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_{1i}) - 2} + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_{g_2}^H(\alpha_{2j}) - 2} \geq 1.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $g_1 \not\equiv \text{const.}$  and  $g_2 \equiv \text{const.}$  Then, for arbitrary distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$ , we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_j) \leq 3.$$

This is an improvement of Theorem II of [8].

After giving the Main Lemma in the next section, we shall prove Theorems I, II and III in §§3, 4 and 5 respectively.

## 2. Main Lemma

Let  $f$  be a nonconstant holomorphic map of a disc  $\Delta_R := \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| < R\}$  into  $P^1(\mathbf{C})$ , where  $0 < R < \infty$ . Take a reduced representation  $f = (f_0 : f_1)$  on  $\Delta_R$  and define

$$\|f\| := (|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2)^{1/2}, \quad W(f_0, f_1) := f_0 f_1' - f_1 f_0'.$$

For arbitrarily given  $q$  distinct points  $\alpha_j = (a_j^0 : a_j^1)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ), set

$$F_j := a_j^1 f_0 - a_j^0 f_1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq q),$$

where  $|a_j^0|^2 + |a_j^1|^2 = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\mu$  depending only on  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  and on  $\varepsilon$  respectively such that*

$$\Delta \log \left( \frac{\|f\|^\varepsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^q \log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \right) \geq C \frac{\|f\|^{2q-4} |W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)}.$$

This is a restatement of a special case of [4, §6, Proposition] (cf. [13, §6]). For the sake of completeness of self-containedness, we give here a direct proof. We show first

**Lemma 2.2.** *For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $\mu_0(\varepsilon) \geq 1$  such that, for every  $\mu \geq \mu_0(\varepsilon)$ ,*

$$\Delta \log \frac{1}{\log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \geq \frac{4|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^2 |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} - \varepsilon \Delta \log \|f\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\varphi_j := |F_j|^2 / \|f\|^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z} \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{\|f\|^8} |F_j \bar{F}_j \|f\|^2 - |F_j|^2 (f_0' \bar{f}_0 + f_1' \bar{f}_1)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|F_j|^2}{\|f\|^8} |W(f_0, f_1)|^2 |a_j^0 \bar{f}_0 + a_j^1 \bar{f}_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{|F_j|^2}{\|f\|^8} |W(f_0, f_1)|^2 ((|a_j^0|^2 + |a_j^1|^2)(|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2) - |a_j^1 f_0 - a_j^0 f_1|^2) \\ &= (\varphi_j - \varphi_j^2) \frac{|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^4}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \log \|f\|^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} &= \frac{(|f_0'|^2 + |f_1'|^2)(|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2) - |f_0 \bar{f}_0' + f_1 \bar{f}_1'|^2}{\|f\|^4} \\ &= \frac{|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \log \frac{1}{\log(\mu/\varphi_j)} &= \frac{4}{\log(\mu/\varphi_j)} \frac{\partial^2 \log \varphi_j}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} + \frac{4}{\varphi_j^2 \log^2(\mu/\varphi_j)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z} \right|^2 \\ &= -\frac{4}{\log(\mu/\varphi_j)} \frac{\partial^2 \log \|f\|^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4(\varphi_j - \varphi_j^2)}{\varphi_j^2 \log^2(\mu/\varphi_j)} \frac{\partial^2 \log \|f\|^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \\ &= \frac{4}{\varphi_j \log^2(\mu/\varphi_j)} \frac{|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^4} \\ &\quad - 4 \left( \frac{1}{\log^2(\mu/\varphi_j)} + \frac{1}{\log(\mu/\varphi_j)} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \log \|f\|^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose a positive constant  $\mu_0(\varepsilon)$  with

$$\frac{1}{\log^2 \mu_0(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{\log \mu_0(\varepsilon)} < \varepsilon,$$

we have the desired inequality because  $|\varphi_j| \leq 1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we take a constant  $\mu$  with  $\mu \geq \mu_0(\varepsilon/q)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \log \frac{\|f\|^\varepsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^q \log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \\ \geq \varepsilon \cdot \Delta \log \|f\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{4|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^2 |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \Delta \log \|f\|^2 \right) \\ = \frac{4|W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\|f\|^4} \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\|f\|^2}{|F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ , there exists a constant  $C_{ij}$  depending only on  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  such that

$$\|f\| \leq C_{ij} \max(|F_i|, |F_j|),$$

because  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  can be represented as a linear combination of  $F_i$  and  $F_j$ . Set  $C_0 := \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} C_{ij}$  and

$$M := \max\{x / \log^2 \mu x; 1 < x \leq C_0^2\}.$$

For an arbitrarily fixed  $z \in \Delta_R$  we determine indices  $j_1, \dots, j_q$  with  $\{j_1, \dots, j_q\} = \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$  so that

$$|F_{j_1}(z)| \leq |F_{j_2}(z)| \leq \dots \leq |F_{j_q}(z)|.$$

Then, for  $l = 2, 3, \dots, q$ , we have  $\|f(z)\| \leq C_0|F_{j_l}(z)|$  and so

$$\frac{\|f(z)\|^2}{|F_{j_l}(z)|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f(z)\|^2/|F_{j_l}|^2)} \leq M.$$

Therefore, at the point  $z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\|f\|^2}{|F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_j|^2)} \\ & \geq \frac{\|f\|^2}{|F_{j_1}|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_{j_1}|^2)} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{M^{q-1}} \left( \prod_{l=2}^q \frac{\|f\|^2}{|F_{j_l}|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_{j_l}|^2)} \right) \frac{\|f\|^2}{|F_{j_1}|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_{j_1}|^2)} \\ & = \frac{\|f\|^{2q}}{M^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^q |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_j|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last term does not depend on choices of indices  $j_1, \dots, j_q$ , this holds on the totality of  $\Delta_R$ . Combining this with the inequality obtained above, we conclude Proposition 2.1.

Now, we consider  $[-\infty, \infty)$ -valued continuous subharmonic functions  $u_j$  ( $\neq -\infty$ ) on  $\Delta_R$  and nonnegative numbers  $\eta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) satisfying the conditions:

- (C1)  $\gamma := q - 2 - (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_q) > 0$ ,
- (C2)  $e^{u_j} \leq \|f\|^{\eta_j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ,
- (C3) for each  $\zeta \in f^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) there exists the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (u_j(z) - \log|z - \zeta|) \in [-\infty, \infty).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** For positive constants  $C$  and  $\mu$  ( $> 1$ ), set

$$v := C \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma} e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j| \log(\mu\|f\|^2/|F_j|^2)}$$

on  $\Delta_R \setminus \{F_1 \dots F_q = 0\}$  and  $v := 0$  on  $\Delta_R \cap \{F_1 \dots F_q = 0\}$ . Then  $v$  is continuous on  $\Delta_R$  and satisfies the condition  $\Delta \log v \geq v^2$  in the distribution sense for suitably chosen  $C, \mu$  depending only on  $\alpha_j$  and  $\eta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ).

*Proof.* Obviously,  $v$  is continuous on  $\{F_1 \dots F_q \neq 0\}$ . Take a point  $\zeta$  with  $F_i(\zeta) = 0$  for some  $i$ . Then  $F_j(\zeta) \neq 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Changing indices if necessary, we may assume that  $f_0(\zeta) \neq 0$ . Set  $\chi_i := W(f_0, f_1)/F_i$ . It has a pole of order one at  $\zeta$  because we can write  $\chi_i = -(f_0/a_i^0)(g'/(g - \alpha_i))$  for  $g := f_1/f_0$ . Therefore, the function

$$\frac{e^{u_i} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_i|} = (|z - \zeta| |\chi_i|) e^{u_i - \log|z - \zeta|}$$

is bounded in a neighborhood of  $\zeta$ . This implies that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} v(z) = 0$ . Eventually,  $v$  is continuous on  $\Delta_R$ .

Now, we choose constants  $C$  and  $\mu$  such that  $C^2$  and  $\mu$  satisfy the inequality in Proposition 2.1 for the case  $\varepsilon = \gamma$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \log v &\geq \Delta \log \frac{\|f\|^\gamma}{\prod_{j=1}^q \log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \\ &\geq C^2 \frac{\|f\|^{2q-4} |W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \\ &\geq C^2 \frac{\|f\|^{2\gamma} e^{2(u_1 + \dots + u_q)} |W(f_0, f_1)|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j|^2 \log^2(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \\ &= v^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For the above  $u_j$ ,  $\eta_j$  and  $\gamma$ , we can choose positive constants  $C^*$  and  $\mu$  such that*

$$\frac{\|f\|^\gamma e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j| \log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \leq C^* \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3 and the following generalized Schwarz' Lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** (cf. [1]). *Let  $v$  be a nonnegative real-valued continuous subharmonic function on  $\Delta_R$ . If  $v$  satisfies the inequality  $\Delta \log v \geq v^2$  in the distribution sense, then*

$$v(z) \leq \lambda_R(z) := \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda_r(z)$  is continuous in  $r$ , we have only to show that

$$\eta_r(z) := v(z) / \lambda_r(z) \leq 1$$

on  $\Delta_r$  for every  $r < R$ . Since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial \Delta_r} \eta_r(z) = 0$ , there exists a point  $z_0 \in \Delta_r$  such that  $\eta_r(z_0) = \max\{\eta_r(z); z \in \bar{\Delta}_r\}$ . Suppose that  $\eta_r(z_0) > 1$ . Then  $\eta_r(z) > 1$  and so  $v(z) > \lambda_r(z)$  on an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $z_0$ . By the assumption,

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta \log \eta_r = \Delta \log v - \Delta \log \lambda_r \geq v^2 - \lambda_r^2 > 0$$

in the distribution sense on  $U$ . Therefore  $\log \eta_r$  is subharmonic and necessarily a constant on  $U$  by the maximum principle. This contradicts (2.6). Thus  $\eta_r(z_0) \leq 1$  and so  $\eta_r(z) \leq 1$  on  $\Delta_r$ .



We now give the

**Main Lemma.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  be continuous subharmonic functions on  $M$ , and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$  nonnegative constants which satisfy the conditions (C1)–(C3). Then, for every  $\delta$  with  $0 < q\delta < \gamma$ , there exists a constant  $C_0$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma - q\delta} e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{1-\delta}} \leq C_0 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2}.$$

*Proof.* For a given  $\delta$  we set

$$\tilde{C} := \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} x^\delta \log(\mu/x^2) (< +\infty).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma - q\delta} e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{1-\delta}} \\ &= \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma} e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|} \prod_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{|F_j|}{\|f\|} \right)^\delta \\ &\leq \tilde{C}^q \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma} e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{\prod_{j=1}^q |F_j| \log(\mu \|f\|^2 / |F_j|^2)} \\ &\leq C^* \tilde{C}^q \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |z|^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C^*$  and  $\mu$  are the constants given in Lemma 2.4. This gives the Main Lemma.

We later need the following modified defect relation which is a direct result of the classical Nevanlinna defect relation and (1.6). We give here a direct proof of this by the use of the Main Lemma.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow P^1(\mathbb{C})$  be a nonconstant holomorphic map. For arbitrary distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbb{C})$*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^S(\alpha_j) \leq 2.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $u_j(0) \neq -\infty$ ,  $f(0) \neq \alpha_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) and  $W(f_0, f_1)(0) \neq 0$ , where  $f_0, f_1$  are holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = (f_0 : f_1)$  is a reduced representation. Suppose that  $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^S(\alpha_j) > 2$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$  satisfying condition (C1) and continuous subharmonic functions  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  on  $M$  satisfying conditions (C2) and (C3). For every  $R > 0$  and  $\delta$  with  $\gamma > q\delta > 0$  we apply the Main Lemma to the map  $f|_{\Delta_R}: \Delta_R \rightarrow P^1(\mathbb{C})$ . Substitute  $z = 0$

into inequality (2.7). We can conclude that  $R$  is bounded by a constant depending only on  $\alpha_j, \eta_j$  and the values of  $f, u_j, F_j, W(f_0, f_1)$  at the origin. This is a contradiction. Thus, we have Theorem 2.8.

### 3. Proof of Theorem I

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be a nonflat minimal surface and  $g: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  the Gauss map. The argument in this section is also used for the proof of Theorems II and III. We do not assume completeness of  $M$  for the present. For our purpose, we may assume that  $M$  is simply connected. In fact, for the universal covering surface  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M, \tilde{x} := x \cdot \pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  is also a nonflat minimal surface, and complete if  $M$  is complete. Moreover, the Gauss map of  $\tilde{M}$  is given by  $\tilde{g} := g \cdot \pi$ , and the modified defects for  $g$  are not larger than those for  $\tilde{g}$ . Since there is no compact minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $M$  is biholomorphic with  $\mathbf{C}$  or the unit disc in  $\mathbf{C}$ . For the case  $M = \mathbf{C}$ , Theorem I is true by virtue of Theorem 2.8. In the following, we assume that  $M$  is biholomorphic with the unit disc in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Set  $\phi_i := \partial x_i / \partial z$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $f := \phi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\phi_2$ . Then, the Gauss map  $g: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  is given by

$$g = \phi_3 / (\phi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\phi_2),$$

and the metric on  $M$  induced from  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is given by

$$(3.1) \quad ds^2 = |f|^2(1 + |g|^2)^2 |dz|^2,$$

[12]. Take a reduced representation  $g = (g_0 : g_1)$  on  $M$  and set  $\|g\| = (|g_0|^2 + |g_1|^2)^{1/2}$ . Then we can rewrite

$$ds^2 = |h|^2 \|g\|^4 |dz|^2,$$

where  $h := f/g_0^2$ .

Now, for given  $q$  distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$  we assume that

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_g^H(\alpha_j) > 4.$$

By Definition 1.2, there exist constants  $\eta_j \geq 0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) such that  $\gamma := q - 2 - (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_q) > 2$  and continuous functions  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) on  $M$  such that each  $u_j$  is harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  and satisfies conditions (C2) and (C3). Take  $\delta$  with

$$(3.3) \quad (\gamma - 2)/q > \delta > (\gamma - 2)/(q + 2),$$

and set  $p = 2/(\gamma - q\delta)$ . Then

$$(3.4) \quad 0 < p < 1, \quad \delta p / (1 - p) > 1.$$

Set  $M' := M \setminus \{F_1 F_2 \dots F_q W(g_0, g_1) = 0\}$  and define the function

$$(3.5) \quad v := |h|^{1/(1-p)} \left( \frac{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{1-\delta}}{e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(g_0, g_1)|} \right)^{p/(1-p)}$$

on  $M'$ , where  $F_j := a_j^1 g_0 - a_j^0 g_1$  for representations  $\alpha_j = (a_j^0 : a_j^1)$  with  $|a_j^0|^2 + |a_j^1|^2 = 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ). Let  $\pi: \tilde{M}' \rightarrow M'$  be the universal covering surface of  $M'$ . By the assumption,  $\log v \cdot \pi$  is harmonic on  $\tilde{M}'$ . Take a conjugate harmonic function  $v^*$  of  $\log v \cdot \pi$  on  $\tilde{M}'$  and define the holomorphic function  $\psi := e^{\log v \cdot \pi + i v^*}$ , which satisfies the identity  $|\psi| = v \cdot \pi$ . Choose a point  $o \in M'$ . We may regard  $o$  as the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Each  $\tilde{z}$  of  $\tilde{M}'$  corresponds bijectively to the homotopy class of a continuous curve  $\gamma_{\tilde{z}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M'$  and  $\gamma_{\tilde{z}}(0) = o$  and  $\gamma_{\tilde{z}}(1) = \pi(\tilde{z})$ . We denote by  $\tilde{o}$  the point corresponding to the constant curve  $o$ . Set

$$w = F(\tilde{z}) = \int_{\gamma_{\tilde{z}}} \psi(z) dz.$$

Then,  $F$  is a single-valued holomorphic function on  $\tilde{M}'$  and satisfies the conditions  $F(\tilde{o}) = 0$  and  $dF(\tilde{z}) \neq 0$  for every  $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{M}'$ . Therefore,  $F$  maps an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\tilde{o}$  biholomorphically onto an open disc  $\Delta_R := \{w : |w| < R\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , where  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ . Choose the largest  $R$  with this property and define  $\Phi := \pi \cdot (F|U)^{-1}$ . Then  $R < +\infty$  because of Liouville's theorem.

We now consider the line segment

$$L_a : w = ta, \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

in  $\Delta_R$  and the image

$$\Gamma_a : z = \Phi(ta), \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

of  $L_a$  by  $\Phi$  for each point  $a \in \partial\Delta_R$ . We claim that there exists a point  $a_0 \in \partial\Delta_R$  such that  $\Gamma_{a_0}$  tends to the boundary of  $M$ . Assume the contrary. Then, for each  $a \in \partial\Delta_R$  there is a sequence  $\{t_\nu; \nu = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} t_\nu = 1$  and  $z_0 := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t_\nu a)$  exists in  $M$ . Suppose that  $z_0 \notin M'$ . Then  $z_0$  is a zero of one of the holomorphic functions  $F_1, \dots, F_q$  and  $W(g_0, g_1)$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, it can be shown that

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} |(F_1 F_2 \dots F_q)(z)|^{\delta p/(1-p)} v(z) > 0$$

in the case  $F_i(z_0) = 0$  for some  $i$ , and

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} |W(g_0, g_1)(z)|^{p/(1-p)} v(z) > 0$$

in the case  $W(g_0, g_1)(z_0) = 0$ . In any case, we can find a positive constant  $C$  such that  $v \geq C/|z - z_0|^{\delta p/(1-p)}$  in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . By virtue of (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= \int_{L_a} |dw| = \int_{\Gamma_a} \left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right| |dz| = \int_{\Gamma_a} v(z) |dz| \\
 &\geq C \int_{\Gamma_a} \frac{1}{|z - z_0|^{\delta p / (1-p)}} |dz| = \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $z_0 \in M'$ .

Take a simply connected neighborhood  $V$  of  $z_0$ , which is relatively compact in  $M'$ . Since  $v$  is positive continuous, we have  $C' := \min_{z \in \bar{V}} v(z) > 0$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{t'_\nu; \nu = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} t'_\nu = 1$  and  $\Phi(t'_\nu a) \notin V$ , then  $\Gamma_a$  goes and returns infinitely often from  $\partial V$  to a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $z_0$ , and so we have an absurd conclusion

$$R = \int_{L_a} |dw| \geq C' \int_{\Gamma_a} |dz| = \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\Phi(ta) \in V$  ( $t_0 < t < 1$ ) for some  $t_0$ . Moreover, since  $V$  can be replaced by an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $z_0$  in the above argument, we can conclude that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Phi(ta) = z_0$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be a connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(V)$ , which includes  $\{(F|U)^{-1}(ta); t_0 < t < 1\}$ . Since  $\pi|_{\tilde{V}}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  is a homeomorphism, there exists the limit

$$\tilde{z}_0 := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (F|U)^{-1}(ta) \in \tilde{M}'.$$

Then  $F$  maps an open neighborhood of  $\tilde{z}_0$  biholomorphically onto a neighborhood of  $a$ . Eventually,  $(F|U)^{-1}$  has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of each  $a \in \partial\Delta_R$  as a map into  $\tilde{M}'$ . Since  $\partial\Delta_R$  is compact, we can easily find a constant  $R'$  with  $R < R'$  such that  $F$  maps an open neighborhood of  $\tilde{U}$  biholomorphically onto  $\Delta_{R'}$ . This contradicts the property of  $R$ . Therefore, there exists a point  $a_0 \in \partial\Delta_R$  such that  $\Gamma_{a_0}$  tends to the boundary of  $M$ .

The map  $z = \Phi(w)$  is locally biholomorphic, and the metric on  $M'$  induced from  $ds^2$  through  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\Phi^* ds^2 = |h \circ \Phi|^2 \|g \circ \Phi\|^4 \left| \frac{dz}{dw} \right|^2 |dw|^2.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $w = F(z)$  we have, because of (3.1),

$$\left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right|^{1-p} = \frac{|h| |F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{(1-\delta)p}}{(e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(g_0, g_1)|)^p}.$$

Set  $f := g \circ \Phi$ ,  $f_0 = g_0 \circ \Phi$ ,  $f_1 = g_1 \circ \Phi$  and abbreviate  $u_j \circ \Phi$  and  $F_j \circ \Phi$  by  $u_j$  and  $F_j$  respectively. Since

$$W(f_0, f_1) = (W(g_0, g_1) \circ \Phi) \frac{dz}{dw},$$

we obtain

$$\left| \frac{dz}{dw} \right| = \frac{(e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|)^p}{|h| |F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{(1-\delta)p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi^* ds^2 = \left( \frac{\|f\|^2 (e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|)^p}{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{(1-\delta)p}} \right)^2 |dw|^2.$$

We apply here the Main Lemma to the map  $f: \Delta_R \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  to see

$$\Phi^* ds^2 \leq C_0^{2p} \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right)^{2p} |dw|^2.$$

It then follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} d(0) &\leq \int_{\Gamma_{\alpha_0}} ds = \int_{L_{\alpha_0}} \Phi^* ds \\ &\leq C_0^p \int_0^R \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right)^p |dw| = C_1 R^{1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are positive constants depending only on  $\alpha_j$  and  $\delta_g^H(\alpha_j)$  ( $\leq \delta_f^H(\alpha_j)$ ).

Now, as in Theorem I, suppose that  $M$  is complete. Then  $d(0) = \infty$ . This contradicts the fact  $R < \infty$ . For a nonflat complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , (3.2) is not true. This completes the proof of Theorem I.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem II

As in Theorem II, let  $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  be a nonflat minimal surface, and  $g: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  be the Gauss map, and assume that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_g^O(\alpha_j) > 4,$$

for  $q$  distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$ . For our purpose, we may assume that  $M$  is biholomorphic with the unit disc in  $\mathbf{C}$ . We use the same notation as in the previous section. By Definition 1.3, there exist positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_q$  such that

$$\gamma := \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) + \dots + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_q} \right) - 2 > 2,$$

and each  $F_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ) has no zero of order less than  $m_j$ . Set  $\eta_j := 1/m_j$  and  $u_j := \eta_j \log |F_j|$ . Thus,  $u_j$  are harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  and satisfy

conditions (C2) and (C3) in §2 for the map  $g: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbb{C})$ . All arguments in the previous section work for the constants  $\eta_j$  and functions  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ). By the same method as in the previous section, we can define a holomorphic map

$$\Phi: \Delta_R \rightarrow M' := M \setminus \{F_1 F_2 \dots F_q W(g_0, g_1) = 0\},$$

such that the induced metric on  $\Delta_R$  is given by (3.6) and satisfies condition (3.7), where  $f = (f_0 : f_1) = g \circ \Phi$ .

Now, apply the Main Lemma to the map  $f$  to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma-q\delta} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_1|^{1-\eta_1-\delta} \dots |F_q|^{1-\eta_q-\delta}} &= \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma-q\delta} e^{u_1+\dots+u_q} |W(f_0, f_1)|}{|F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{1-\delta}} \\ &\leq C_0 \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < q\delta < \gamma$ , and  $C_0$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha_j$  and  $\eta_j$ . Set  $p = 2/(\gamma - q\delta)$  and substitute  $w = 0$  into this inequality. We can conclude

$$(4.2) \quad R^{1-p} \leq (2C_0)^{1-p} \frac{(|F_1(0)|^{1-\eta_1-\delta} \dots |F_q(0)|^{1-\eta_q-\delta})^{1-p}}{|W(f_0, f_1)(0)|^{1-p} \|f(0)\|^{2(1-p)/p}}.$$

On the other hand, by substituting  $e^{u_j} = |F_j|^{\eta_j}$  into the identity (3.6), we obtain

$$\Phi^* ds^2 = \lambda^2 |dw|^2 = \frac{\|f\|^4 |W(f_0, f_1)|^{2p}}{(|F_1|^{1-\eta_1-\delta} \dots |F_q|^{1-\eta_q-\delta})^{2p}} |dw|^2.$$

Therefore, the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  at the origin is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(0) &= -\frac{\Delta \log \lambda}{\lambda^2} \\ &= -\frac{4|W(f_0, f_1)(0)|^{2(1-p)} (|F_1(0)|^{1-\eta_1-\delta} \dots |F_q(0)|^{1-\eta_q-\delta})^{2p}}{\|f(0)\|^8}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with the right-hand side of (4.2), we have

$$R^{1-p} \leq C_0^{1-p} \frac{|F_1(0)|^{1-\eta_1-\delta} \dots |F_q(0)|^{1-\eta_q-\delta}}{|K(0)|^{1/2} \|f(0)\|^{2(1+p)/p}}.$$

Since  $|F_j|/\|f\| \leq 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$  and

$$\frac{2(1+p)}{p} = 2 \left( \frac{\gamma - q\delta}{2} + 1 \right) = \sum_{j=1}^q (1 - \eta_j - \delta),$$

we can conclude that

$$R^{1-p} \leq C_0^{1-p} |K(0)|^{-1/2}.$$

Combining this with (3.7), we complete the proof of Theorem II.

### 5. Proof of Theorem III

As in Theorem III, let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be a nonflat complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , and  $g = (g_1, g_2): M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C}) \times P^1(\mathbf{C})$  be the Gauss map. For the proof of Theorem III, we may assume that  $M$  is biholomorphic with the unit disc in  $\mathbf{C}$  as in the previous sections. Take a reduced representation  $g_k = (g_{k0} : g_{k1})$ , and set  $\|g_k\| = (|g_{k0}|^2 + |g_{k1}|^2)^{1/2}$  for each  $g_k: M \rightarrow P^1(\mathbf{C})$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). Then the induced metric on  $M$  is given by

$$ds^2 = 2 \left( \sum_{l=1}^4 \left| \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial z} \right|^2 \right) |dz|^2 = |h|^2 \|g_1\|^2 \|g_2\|^2 |dz|^2,$$

where  $h = (\partial x_1 / \partial z - \sqrt{-1} \partial x_2 / \partial z) / (g_{10} g_{21})$ .

Consider first the case where  $g_1 \not\equiv \text{const.}$  and  $g_2 \not\equiv \text{const.}$  Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_{1i}) > 2, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_{g_2}^H(\alpha_{2j}) > 2,$$

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_{1i}) - 2} + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_{g_2}^H(\alpha_{2j}) - 2} < 1,$$

for distinct points  $\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1q_1} \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$  and distinct points  $\alpha_{21}, \dots, \alpha_{2q_2} \in P^1(\mathbf{C})$ . By Definition 1.2, there exist nonnegative constants  $\eta_{k1}, \dots, \eta_{kq_k}$  and continuous functions  $u_{k1}, \dots, u_{kq_k}$  on  $M$  for each  $k = 1, 2$  such that each  $u_{ki}$  is harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha_{ki})$  and satisfies the conditions

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma_k := q_k - 2 - (\eta_{k1} + \dots + \eta_{kq_k}) > 0 \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} < 1,$$

$$(5.3) \quad e^{u_{ki}} \leq \|g_k\|^{\eta_{ki}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq q_k, k = 1, 2),$$

$$(5.4) \quad \text{for every } \zeta \in g_k^{-1}(\alpha_{ki}) \text{ there exists the limit}$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (u_{ki}(z) - \log |z - \zeta|) \in [-\infty, \infty).$$

Take a constant  $\delta_0$  such that  $0 < q_k \delta_0 < \gamma_k$  and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1 - q_1 \delta_0} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2 - q_2 \delta_0} = 1.$$

If we choose a positive constant  $\delta$  ( $< \delta_0$ ) sufficiently near to  $\delta_0$  and set

$$p_k := \frac{1}{\gamma_k - q_k \delta} \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

we have

$$(5.5) \quad 0 < p_1 + p_2 < 1, \quad \frac{\delta p_k}{1 - p_1 - p_2} > 1 \quad (k = 1, 2).$$

Represent each  $\alpha_{ki}$  as  $\alpha_{ki} = (a_{ki}^0 : a_{ki}^1)$  and define holomorphic functions  $F_{ki} := a_{ki}^1 g_{k0} - a_{ki}^0 g_{k1}$ , where  $|a_{ki}^0|^2 + |a_{ki}^1|^2 = 1$ . Set

$$v_k := u_{k1} + \cdots + u_{kq_k},$$

$$\tilde{F}_k := F_{k1} F_{k2} \cdots F_{kq_k},$$

for each  $k = 1, 2$  and define

$$v := \left( \frac{|h| |\tilde{F}_1|^{(1-\delta)p_1} |\tilde{F}_2|^{(1-\delta)p_2}}{(e^{v_1} |W(g_{10}, g_{11})|)^{p_1} (e^{v_2} |W(g_{20}, g_{21})|)^{p_2}} \right)^{1/(1-p_1-p_2)}$$

The function  $\log v$  is harmonic on the set

$$M' = M \setminus \{W(g_{10}, g_{11})W(g_{20}, g_{21})\tilde{F}_1\tilde{F}_2 = 0\}.$$

Let  $\pi: \tilde{M}' \rightarrow M'$  be the universal covering surface of  $M'$ . In the same manner as in §3, we can find a holomorphic function  $\psi$  on  $\tilde{M}'$  such that  $|\psi| = v \cdot \pi$ . Define

$$w = F(\tilde{p}) = \int_{\gamma_{\tilde{p}}} \psi(z) dz \quad (\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}'),$$

as before. Then  $F$  maps an open neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $\tilde{o}$  biholomorphically onto a disc  $\Delta_R$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , where we choose the largest  $R$  with this property. Set  $\Phi := \pi \cdot (F|U)^{-1}$ . Then, we have  $R < \infty$  and there exists a point  $a_0 \in \partial\Delta_R$  such that the image

$$\Gamma_{a_0}: z = \Phi(ta_0), \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

of the curve  $L_{a_0} = \{ta_0; 0 \leq t < 1\}$  by  $\Phi$  tends to the boundary of  $M$ . Indeed, the same argument as in §3 is available in this case too if we use (5.5) instead of (3.4).

Now, setting  $f_{kl} := g_{kl} \cdot \Phi$  and  $f_k = (f_{k0} : f_{k1})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $l = 0, 1$ , we apply the Main Lemma to the maps  $f_k$ . We then have

$$\frac{\|f_k\| |\gamma_k - q_k \delta| e^{v_k} |W(f_{k0}, f_{k1})|}{|\tilde{F}_k|^{1-\delta}} \leq C_0 \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

where  $C_0$  is a positive constant. On the other hand, the metric on  $\Delta_R$  induced from  $M$  through  $\Phi$  is given by



$$\Phi^* ds^2 = \left( \|f_1\| \|f_2\| \left( \frac{|W(f_{10}, f_{11})| e^{v_1}}{|F_1|^{1-\delta}} \right)^{p_1} \left( \frac{|W(f_{20}, f_{21})| e^{v_2}}{|F_2|^{1-\delta}} \right)^{p_2} \right)^2 |dw|^2.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$d(0) \leq \int_{\Gamma_{a_0}} ds = \int_{L_{a_0}} \Phi^* ds \leq C_0^{p_1+p_2} \int_{L_{a_0}} \left( \frac{2R}{R^2 - |w|^2} \right)^{p_1+p_2} |dw| < \infty,$$

by the aid of (5.5). This contradicts the completeness of  $M$ . Thus, the proof of Theorem III(i) is complete.

We finally consider the case where  $g_1 \neq \text{const}$  and  $g_2 \equiv \text{const}$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_{g_1}^H(\alpha_i) > 3$  for distinct points  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \in P^1(\mathbb{C})$ . We can take nonnegative constants  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$  with

$$\gamma := q - 2 - (\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_q) > 1$$

and continuous functions  $u_1, \dots, u_q$  such that each  $u_i$  is harmonic on  $M \setminus f^{-1}(\alpha_i)$  and satisfies conditions (C2) and (C3). Choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < q\delta < \gamma$  such that  $p = 1/(\gamma - q\delta)$  satisfies (3.4). In this case, we use the function

$$v = \frac{|h|^{1/(1-p)} |F_1 F_2 \dots F_q|^{p(1-\delta)/(1-p)}}{e^{u_1 + \dots + u_q} |W(g_{10}, g_{11})|^{p/(1-p)}}.$$

By the same method as before, we can construct a continuous curve of finite length which tends to the boundary of  $M$ . This contradicts the completeness of  $M$ . Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem III(ii).

### References

- [1] L. A. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants*, Topics in Geometric Function Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [2] C. C. Chen, *On the image of the generalized Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4$* , Pacific J. Math. **102** (1982) 9-14.
- [3] S. S. Chern & R. Osserman, *Complete minimal surface in euclidean  $n$ -space*, J. Analyse Math. **19** (1967) 15-34.
- [4] M. J. Cowen & P. A. Griffiths, *Holomorphic curves and metrics on negative curvature*, J. Analyse Math. **29** (1976) 93-153.
- [5] H. Fujimoto, *On the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in  $\mathbb{R}^m$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983) 279-288.
- [6] ———, *Value distribution of the Gauss map of complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^m$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983) 663-681.
- [7] ———, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into  $P^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times P^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$* , Japan. J. Math. **11** (1985) 233-264.
- [8] ———, *On the number of exceptional values of the Gauss map of minimal surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **40** (1988) 235-247.
- [9] D. A. Hoffman & R. Osserman, *The geometry of the generalized Gauss map*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 236, 1980.

- [10] R. Osserman, *Minimal surfaces in the large*, Comment. Math. Helv. **35** (1961) 65–76.
- [11] ———, *Global properties of minimal surfaces in  $E^3$  and  $E^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964) 340–364.
- [12] ———, *A survey of minimal surfaces*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1969.
- [13] B. V. Shabat, *Distribution of values of holomorphic mappings*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 61, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985.
- [14] F. Xavier, *The Gauss map of a complete non-flat minimal surface cannot omit 7 points of the sphere*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (1981) 211–214.

KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY, JAPAN